T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE:		<u>07-Jul-05</u>			APPL. S.N.:	09/991,561	
TO: EXAM	IINER	Abel-Jalil, I	<u>Neveen</u>		ART UNIT:	2165	
FROM:	PAR	<u>Vines, Yolanda</u> RALEGAL SPECIAL	IST		RE	TURN THIS MEMO TO:	Case Drop:Off Loo
SUBJECT	: De	cision on Terminal I	Disclaimer (T.D.) filed:	<u>20-Jun-05</u>			
paragr please APPLI	aphs ide see me CANT O	ntified by this inform or the Special Progr	ial memo in your next O ram Examiner. THIS IS	ffice action to notify applica AN INFORMAL, INTERN	ant of the T.D. I AL MEMO ONL	e, please use the appropria f you disagree or have any Y. IT MUST NOT BE (1) nplete, please initial, date a	questions, MAILED TO
✓ The	T.D. is P	ROPER and has beer	recorded (see ¶14.23).				
The	The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24):						
	The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account (see § 14.26.07).						
	The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01).						
	The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01).						
	The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02).						
	The person who signed the T.D.:						
	is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).						
	has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28).						
	is n	ot recognized as an o	fficer of the assignee (se	e¶¶14.29 & possible 14.29	.02).		
	No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30).						
	The T.I	O. is not signed (see §	¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03).				·
	The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § 14.32).						nissing or incorrect
	The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).						
	The per	riod disclaimed is inc	orrect or not specified (so	ee ¶¶14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.	26.03).		
	Other:						
Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.							
I have appr	opriately	notified applicant(s)	of the status of the Termi	nal Disclaimer filed in this o	case.		
Ex. Initials:		Date:				Log (Date:
Special Pro	gram Da	tabase, Version 2.1	(Rev	r. 5/98) R	outing Slip Print	ed On: Thursday	, July 07, 2005 8:25:40 AM